PROPOSED RULE MAKING



Phone: 360-407-7832

Fax: N/A

CR-102 (December 2017) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do NOT use for expedited rule making

CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: November 07, 2018

TIME: 7:14 AM

WSR 18-22-123

Agency: Department of Ecology AO # 18-11							
☑ Original Notice							
□ Supplemental Notice to WSR							
□ Continuance of WSR							
☐ Preproposal State	ment of Inqu	uiry was filed as WSR ; or					
□ Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR; or							
☐ Proposal is exempt under RCW							
Title of rule and other identifying information: (describe subject) Chapter 173-423 WAC, Low emission vehicles, establishes rules implementing the California motor vehicle emission standards adopted by the 2005 Washington Legislature. This rulemaking incorporates by reference recent changes to California clean car rules to maintain consistency with the California motor vehicle emission standards and compliance with federal law. For more information on this rulemaking, visit: https://ecology.wa.gov/Regulations-Permits/Laws-rules-							
rulemaking/Rulemakin Hearing location(s):	g/vvAC-1/3-	423.					
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comment:				
December 11, 2018	2:00 pm	Webinar and in-person at: Department of Ecology 300 Desmond Drive Lacey, WA 98503	Presentation, question and answer session followed by the hearing. We are also holding this hearing via webinar. This is an online meeting that you can attend from any computer using internet access. Join online and see instructions: https://watech.webex.com/watech/onstage/g.php?MTID=e788c16198495653d0aed408e8f72e37e. For audio call US Toll number 1-855-929-3239 and enter access code 809 005 897. Or to receive a free call back, provide your phone number when you join the event.				
Date of intended ado	ption: Dec. 2	27, 2018 (Note: This is NOT the e	ffective date)				
Email: Submit commer Fax: N/A	of Ecology Program 00 A 98504-760 nts by mail, o	n0 nline, or at the hearing(s). nmentinput.com/?id=x2Sih					
Assistance for persons with disabilities:							
-	Contact <u>Sultana Shah</u>						
Contact <u>Sultana Snan</u>							

TTY: People with speech disability may call TTY at 877-833-6341. People with impaired hear	ring may call Washington Relay				
Service at 711.					
Email: sultana.shaw@ecy.wa.gov					
Other: N/A					
By (date) December 4, 2018					
 Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rule to match revisions to California's motor vehicle emission standards for greenhouse gase Resources Board on Sept. 28, 2018. These changes: Clarify that automobile makers who want to sell cars and light-duty trucks in Californi Washington) for model years 2021 through 2025 would need to meet the existing stathe federal government, and automakers in 2012; and Update requirements and test procedures for certifying compliance with the vehicle expection 1968.5. Update requirements for on-board diagnostic systems from California's 2015 rulemal Section 1968.5. Update the California effective date for two sections to reflect the current date rather citation: Section 1956.8 (g) and (h): California revisions to Section 1956.8 did not change Appendix A to Article 2.1: Appendix A is part of Section 2112 so the effective date Section 2112 not the date Appendix A was last revised. Correct that ten sections are located in Article 2 not Article 1: Section 1956.8 (g) and 	a (and by extension in andards agreed to by California, emission standards. king in Section 1968.2 and than the revision date for that the content in (g) and (h); and e should be the effective date for				
1961, Section 1961.1, Section 1961.2, Section 1961.3, Section 1965, Section 1968.2 and Section 1978.					
Reasons supporting proposal: The Washington Legislature requires automotive emissions standards to be consistent with Catandards in Title 13 of the California Code of Regulations. RCW 70.120A.010 directs Ecologo time, to maintain consistency with the California motor vehicle emission standards." This reference recent updates of Title 13 of the California Code of Regulations into Chapter 173-4. The federal Clean Air Act allows states that want to be more stringent than the federal standards.	gy to "amend the rules from time rulemaking will incorporate by .23 WAC.				
he California clean car program and requires these states to maintain consistency with the Catandards.					
Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 70.120A.010					
Statute being implemented: Chapter 70.120A RCW, Motor Vehicle Emission Standards					
s rule necessary because of a:					
Federal Law?					
Federal Court Decision?					
State Court Decision?	□ Yes ⊠ No				

Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters: In 2012, California, the federal government (National Highway Traffic Safety Administration and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency), and automakers agreed to establish one national program for fleet-wide emission standards. With that decision, California adopted rules in 2012 declaring that cars meeting federal standards for model years 2017-2025 comply with California's greenhouse gas standards. Twelve other states and the District of Columbia have adopted California's standards. Colorado is in the process of adopting the California standards. These states make up more than 35 percent of the U.S. new car market.

If yes, CITATION: 42 U.S.C. Sec. 7507

In August 2018, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency announced they were considering freezing the current federal fuel efficiency and greenhouse gas emissions standards at the 2020 levels. The existing federal and California standards require automobile makers to increase efficiency and reduce emissions year over year though model year 2025.

On September 28, 2018, the California Air Resources Board endorsed rules to clarify that automobile makers who want to sell cars and light-duty trucks in California after the 2020 model year would need to meet the existing standards, rather than

	0 ,	raffic Safety Administration and the U.S. E rnia's rule revisions when they adopt their	0 , ,		
 California 2018 rulemaking documents: https://www.arb.ca.gov/regact/2018/leviii2018.htm California 2015 rulemaking documents: https://www.arb.ca.gov/regact/2015/obdii2015.htm 					
Name of propone	ent: (person or organization)	Department of Ecology	☐ Private ☐ Public ☑ Governmental		
Name of agency	personnel responsible for:				
	Name	Office Location	Phone		
Drafting:	Elena Guilfoil	Lacey	(360) 407-6855		
Implementation:	Transportation planner	Lacey	(360) 407-6600		
Enforcement:	Transportation planner	Lacey	(360) 407-6600		
Is a school distri If yes, insert state		required under RCW 28A.305.135?	□ Yes ⊠ No		
The public may Name: N Address Phone: Fax: N/A TTY: N/A Email: N Other: N	N/A :: N/A N/A A A A	district fiscal impact statement by contact	ing:		
Is a cost-benefit	analysis required under RC	CW 34.05.328?			
Name: Address Phone: Fax: TTY: Email: Other: ☑ No: Pleads	se explain: RCW 34.05.328 (s may be obtained by contacting: 5)(v) exempts rules the content of which icts Ecology to amend its rules to maintain			
Regulatory Fairn	ess Act Cost Consideration	ns for a Small Business Economic Imp	act Statement:		
chapter 19.85 RC	W). Please check the box for	may be exempt from requirements of the any applicable exemption(s):	· ·		
adopted solely to regulation this rule adopted.	conform and/or comply with fe is being adopted to conform	sal, is exempt under RCW 19.85.061 becaused in the consequence of the	the specific federal statute or		
defined by RCW 3	osal, or portions of the propos 34.05.313 before filing the no- osal, or portions of the propos	sal, is exempt because the agency has co tice of this proposed rule. sal, is exempt under the provisions of RC			

⊠ This rul	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is e	xempt under R	CW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply:	
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)	\boxtimes	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)	
	(Internal government operations)		(Dictated by statute)	
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)	
	(Incorporation by reference)		(Set or adjust fees)	
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)	
	(Correct or clarify language)		((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process	
			requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)	
 □ This rul	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is e	vemnt under R	• •	
	n of exemptions, if necessary:	zempt under iv		
Explanation	To oxemptione, il nocessary.			
	COMPLETE THIS SECT	TION ONLY IF	NO EXEMPTION APPLIES	
If the propo	osed rule is not exempt , does it impose mo	re-than-minor	costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?	
	• /			
□ No	Briefly summarize the agency's analysis	showing how c	osts were calculated	
☐ Yes	Calculations show the rule proposal likely	, imposos mor	e-than-minor cost to businesses, and a small business	
	nic impact statement is required. Insert state	•	e-triali-minor cost to businesses, and a small business	
The	public may obtain a copy of the small busin	acce aconomic	impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by	
	acting:	iess economic	impact statement of the detailed cost calculations by	
N	lame:			
A	Address:			
P	Phone:			
	ax:			
	TY:			
	mail:			
C	Other:	T = -		
Date: Nove	ember 6, 2018	Signat	^	
Name: Polly Zehm			Golly Zehm	
Title: Deputy Director			- James	